0040-4039(95)02327-5

Tandem Cyclopropylcarbinyl/Oxiranylcarbinyl Radical Rearrangements: An Entry into the Prostaglandin B_1 Series

Frederick E. Ziegler* and Anders K. Petersen

Sterling Chemistry Laboratory, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520-8107 USA

Abstract: A tandem radical fragmentation of a cyclopropylcarbinyl/oxiranylcarbinyl system leads to the PGB_1 series of prostaglandins. Control of cyclopropane bond fragmentation as a function of C_2 substitution in bicyclo[3.1.0]hexanylcarbinyl radicals is presented.

Early studies on the synthesis of prostaglandins employed base-initiated opening of cyclopropyl oxiranes as a route to the B_1 series^{1,2} and solvolysis as an entry to the F_1 series.³ The use of vinylcyclopropanes by other investigators led to successful pathways to the $F_{2\alpha}$ series^{2,4} and A_1 and E_2 series.^{5,6} Our recent study on the tandem radical fragmentation of cyclopropyl oxiranes⁷ prompted us to explore the utility of this reaction in the synthesis of prostaglandin derivatives. In this Letter we provide the details of the synthesis of PGB₁ orthoester 1.

Cyclopropane 2 was prepared by intramolecular α-diazo-β-keto ester addition as described for the methyl⁴

and ethyl esters⁵ (Scheme 1). Selective reduction of β -keto ester 2 via the TIPS enol ether⁷ afforded cleanly ketol 3 in 71% yield. At this juncture both oxidation of the alcohol to an aldehyde and epoxidation of the double bond were required. To this end, ketol 3 was oxidized with Dess-Martin periodinane.⁸ No aldehyde 4 was observed but rather a single dihydrooxepin 5, which was formed via a retro-Claisen rearrangement, was isolated in 87% yield. The enhanced facility with which these rearrangements

occur when two carbonyl groups are substituted geminally on the cyclopropane ring has been reported by Boeckman.⁹⁻¹¹ The assignment of stereochemistry of oxepin 5 was based upon the syn-boat transition state for the rearrangement of cis-1,2-divinylcyclopropanes.¹²

Efforts to attain stereoselective epoxidation of the double bond of ketol 3 through participation of the hydroxyl function proved unrewarding. The TIPS ether of 3, which provided little facial discrimination, gave a 3:2 mixture (α : β) of epoxides upon oxidation with m-CPBA. Oxidation of ketol 3 with trifluoroperoxyacetic acid^{7,13} furnished a 3:2 mixture (β : α) of epoxy trifluoroacetates, which were deprotected in methanol to the epoxy ketols 6 and 7 in 81% yield (Scheme 2). Separation of the alcohols by radial chromatography on silica gel caused partial (18%) conversion of epoxide 6 to tetrahydrofuran 9. The stereochemical assignments of 6 and 7 were made at this juncture by comparison of their ¹H NMR spectra with the known four stereoisomers of epoxide 10.⁷ Oxidation of ketol 6 with Dess-Martin periodinane in the presence of pyridine readily provided keto aldehyde 8 (91%). The separation of ketols 6 and 7 was conducted to minimize stereoisomers in the synthetic sequence. However, a mixture of 6 and 7 could have been employed because the final target 1 has but one stereogenic center.

Scheme 1

a) one pot procedure; i) LDA (1.4 equiv), THF, -42 °C, 15 min; ii) TIPSOT1, -42 °C, 15 min; iii) DIBALH (3 equiv), -42 °C, 45 min; iv) 1:1 AcOH/H₂O, 25 °C, 7 h; b) Dess-Martin periodinane, 0 °C, 10 min.

Scheme 2

a) urea H₂O₂/TFAA/Na₂HPO₄, 25 °C, 30 min; b) MeOH, 3Å mol. sieves, 25 °C, 75 min; c) Dess-Martin periodinane, CH₂Cl₂/pyr, 0 --> 25 °C, 35 min; d) silica gel, 10% EtOAc/hexane

The known^{15,16} bromide **11a** (Scheme 3) was converted into the iodide which was, in turn, metallated as prescribed by Bailey¹⁷ and Negishi.¹⁸ Addition of the organolithium reagent **11c** to keto aldehyde **8** led to the isolation of a modest yield (21%) of a single ketol product having the stereochemistry depicted in structure **12a**. Alternative organometallic reagents (RMgX, RZnI, RCeCl₂) failed to improve the yield. The stereochemistry of this ketol product was determined in the same fashion as was the stereochemistry of tetrahydrofuran **9**. Treatment of **12a** with TFA formed the tetrahydrofuran **14**, which showed mutual NOE enhancements among the protons H_a, H_b, and H_c. Ketol **12a** was readily converted into its xanthate **12b** in 60% yield along with the formation of **13** (9%), a product of carbon thioacylation.

PGB₁ orthoester 1 was formed in 55% yield from xanthate 12b by an intricate radical cascade. The mechanism of the tandem fragmentation (Scheme 4) follows along lines described previously for simpler systems.⁷ Xanthate 12b undergoes Barton-McCombie reduction¹⁹ to the secondary cyclopropylcarbinyl radical, which preferentially ruptures the cyclopropane bond overlapping with the carbonyl group to provide the oxiranylcarbinyl radical 16. This radical is in rapid equilibrium with the (E)- and (Z)-allyloxyl radicals 17 and 18, respectively.¹⁴

a) NaI, acetone, 25 °C, 4 h; b) +BuLi, Et₂O/pentane, -78 °C (15 min), 25 °C (1 h); c) 8, THF, -78 °C, inverse addin, 25 min; d) i) LDA, THF, 0 °C ii) CS₂ iii) MeI; e) TFA (cat.), CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 15 h; f) LiOH, 75% aq. THF, 25 °C, 2.5 h.

Scheme 4

a) Bu₃SnH (2 equiv), AIBN (1 equiv), toluene, 75 °C, 2 h; b) stannyl Lewis acids.

Intramolecular hydrogen atom abstraction in 18 prevails over bimolecular trapping of 17 at low donor concentration (< 0.05 M). The initially formed (Z)-dienone 20 isomerized to the (E)-isomer 1 in the presence of tin salts.

Overlap between the π -bond of the carbonyl group with the peripheral cyclopropane bond controls the kinetic cleavage of cyclopropylcarbinyl radical 15 to oxiranylcarbinyl radical 16. When the carbonyl group is reduced to an alcohol and the xanthate is replaced by a chlorine atom, kinetic cleavage of the internal cyclopropane bond occurs (Scheme 5). Substitution of chlorine for the xanthate moiety prevents premature reduction of the xanthate prior to formation of the cyclopropylcarbinyl radical at high Bu₃SnH concentration. Reduction of chloride 21 in neat Bu₃SnH (3.72 M) gave methylenecyclohexanol 22 (54%) and a mixture of (E)-allylic alcohol 23 (13%) and (Z)-dienediol 24 (5%). In 0.08 M Bu₃SnH, the yield of 22 was 38% while 23 and 24 were formed in trace amounts. These data strongly suggest that, in the absence of the carbonyl group, zero bridge cleavage of the [3.1.0]

system is kinetically preferred.²⁰ Noteworthy is the appearance of 13% of (E)-allylic alcohol 23 in neat Bu₃SnH, the first time that an (E)-allylic alcohol has been produced in these systems.

Scheme 5 (β/α alcohols, 90/10)

a) Bu₃SnH, 3.72 M (neat), AIBN (cat.), 90 °C, 1 h.

Acknowledgments: This research was supported by Grant CHE-9204396 from the Chemistry Division of the National Science Foundation. AKP acknowledges the support of the Danish Technical Research Council.

References and Notes:

- (1) Holden, K. G.; Hwang, B.; Williams, K. R.; Weinstock, J.; Harman, M.; Weisbach, J. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 1569.
- (2) Kondo, K.; Umemoto, T.; Yako, K.; Tunemoto, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 3927.
- (3) Just, G.; Simonovitch, C.; Lincoln, F. H.; Schneider, W. P.; Axen, U.; Spero, G. B.; Pike, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 5364.
- (4) Kondo, K.; Umemoto, T.; Takahatake, Y.; Tunemoto, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 113.
- (5) Taber, D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 3513.
- (6) Taber, D. F.; Hoerrner, R. S. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 441.
- (7) Ziegler, F. E.; Petersen, A. K. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 2707.
- (8) Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7277.
- (9) Boeckman, R. K., Jr.; Shair, M. D.; Vargas, J. R.; Stolz, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1295.
- (10) Rey, M.; Dreiding, A. S. Helv. Chim. Acta 1965, 48, 1985.
- (11) Rhoads, S. J.; Cockroft, R. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 2815.
- (12) Ohloff, G.; Pickenhagen, W. Helv. Chim. Acta 1969, 52, 880.
- (13) Cooper, M. S.; Heaney, H.; Newbold, A. J.; Sanderson, W. R. Synlett 1990, 533.
- (14) Ziegler, F. E.; Petersen, A. K. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 2666.
- (15) Jones, R. C. F.; Schofield, J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I 1990, 375.
- (16) Corey, E. J.; Raju, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 5571.
- (17) Bailey, W. F.; Punzalan, E. R. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 5404.
- (18) Negishi, E.; Swanson, D. R.; Rousset, C. J. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 5406.
- (19) Barton, D. H. R.; McCombie, S. W. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I 1975, 1574.
- (20) There is the possibility that the selectivity is not caused by the π -bond overlap of the carbonyl group but rather by strain difference associated with sp² vs. sp³ hybridization in the carbonyl and carbinol, respectively.